Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,297	GRIESKAMP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Chavis	2193
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>John Chavis</u> .	(3)	
(2) Steven Wight.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2007.		•
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	:]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>24-28</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Mr. Wight agreed to ame the specifications and to keep claims 25-28 consistent with	nd claim 24 to better define th	e invention consistent with
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		•
·	ad p	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signs	ature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)